

# Initial Report

Last Modified: 08/29/2013

1. Please rate the sessions and presentations you attended:

#	Question	Worthwhile	Neutral	Not Useful	Did not Attend	Total Responses	Mean
1	Session 1; Topic 1: Strategic Planning for New Library Services	5	0	0	6	11	2.64
2	Session 1; Topic 2: Career Development and Librarians	4	1	0	4	9	2.44
3	Session 1; Topic 3: Metadata Innovations	1	0	0	8	9	3.67
4	Session 2; Topic 1: Large Scale Digitization	1	0	0	9	10	3.70
5	Session 2; Topic 2: Library/User-Community Partnerships	1	2	0	6	9	3.22
6	Session 2; Topic 3: Discipline and Subject-based Service Programs	6	0	0	5	11	2.36
7	Session 3; Topic 1: Public Service Innovations	4	1	2	2	9	2.22
8	Session 3; Topic 2: Digital Humanities and Libraries	0	0	1	8	9	3.89
9	Session 3; Topic 3 Archival Innovations	1	1	0	8	10	3.50
10	Keynote: Entrepreneurial Strategy for Academic Innovation Services	6	0	2	2	10	2.00

Statistic	Session 1; Topic 1: Strategic Planning for New Library Services	Session 1; Topic 2: Career Development and Librarians	Session 1; Topic 3: Metadata Innovations	Session 2; Topic 1: Large Scale Digitization	Session 2; Topic 2: Library/User-Community Partnerships	Session 2; Topic 3: Discipline and Subject-based Service Programs	Session 3; Topic 1: Public Service Innovations	Session 3; Topic 2: Digital Humanities and Libraries	Session 3; Topic 3 Archival Innovations	Keynote: Entrepreneurial Strategy for Academic Innovation Services
Min Value	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1
Max Value	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Mean	2.64	2.44	3.67	3.70	3.22	2.36	2.22	3.89	3.50	2.00
Variance	2.45	2.28	1.00	0.90	1.44	2.45	1.69	0.11	1.17	1.78
Standard Deviation	1.57	1.51	1.00	0.95	1.20	1.57	1.30	0.33	1.08	1.33
Total Responses	11	9	9	10	9	11	9	9	10	10

2. Please provide us with feedback on the keynote:

#	Question	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total Responses	Mean
1	Keynote speaker was knowledgeable about his subject	10	1	0	11	1.09
2	The quality of his presentation was excellent	10	0	1	11	1.18

Statistic	Keynote speaker was knowledgeable about his subject	The quality of his presentation was excellent
Min Value	1	1
Max Value	2	3
Mean	1.09	1.18
Variance	0.09	0.36
Standard Deviation	0.30	0.60
Total Responses	11	11

3. Please rate the sessions overall:

#	Question	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total Responses	Mean
1	The conference sessions were just the right length	9	1	1	11	1.27
2	The content of the sessions were appropriate and informative	8	3	0	11	1.27
3	There was enough time for questions and discussion in the sessions	10	0	1	11	1.18
4	There was enough time and opportunity to network with conference attendees	9	0	2	11	1.36

Statistic	The conference sessions were just the right length	The content of the sessions were appropriate and informative	There was enough time for questions and discussion in the sessions	There was enough time and opportunity to network with conference attendees
Min Value	1	1	1	1
Max Value	3	2	3	3
Mean	1.27	1.27	1.18	1.36
Variance	0.42	0.22	0.36	0.65
Standard Deviation	0.65	0.47	0.60	0.81
Total Responses	11	11	11	11





4. We are interested in suggestions for planning for next year's conference:

Are there specific topics you would like to see at the next conference?	What time of year would be best for the next conference?	When would you not be able to attend a conference?	Would you be interested in presenting at the next conference?
Community Engagement	Summer	none	sure
I would like to have a theme around fundraising from private/individual donors	Late May is generally good, or winter (late January or February)	First 2 week of May, early September.	depends on the theme. If around fundraising, I couldn't present, but would enjoy learning more in this area.
please have water and cups available next year!!!! it was pretty difficult in the afternoon.			
More topics on instruction and reference.	summer	fall	Possibly, yes
More strategic planning, future innovations, Ithaka or similar environmental surveys	Spring, Summer	Late Oct/early Nov	Would have loved to have heard more professional librarians and library directors speak
Conference speaker was delightful person, but was simply vague, general or "all over the map" with nebulous concepts - unable to take anything tangible away from his message. The Digital Humanities address left me clueless. I could not figure out what point our speaker was trying to make and certainly could not tell you in one sentence its definition. Again, a lovely person, but one who spoke seemingly in circles. I can't tell you what digital humanities means, after his address. Suggested for next year: Useful Literature Research service provision and its specifics - methodology and management of requests - would be greatly welcomed - the practicalities and organizational tips for efficiency. At a large university, without bringing material and process organization to a search, the weight of some requests alone would monopolize the service.	This year's conference came at the height of our busiest time of year - incoming new medical classes, special program initiation, pre-testing, pre-skill development programs and teaching of endless new department fellows/resident Fall orientations, along with hospital staff Library training modules. August is numbing schedule-wise. Fall into Sept/Oct would be much easier to assimilate new material for the Research/Education Librarian.	August - September, ideally	No, but thank you for the effort that goes into planning an excellent program. I know from experience, that it's not easy and you can't insure meeting everyone's expectations. It's just not possible. However, I look forward to next year's offerings, as the break-out sessions were practical and useful at this first Cross Timber's conference. Many thanks again!
More about instruction	I think summer is a good time, since other conferences happen in the fall and spring		Perhaps. I thought it was a great venue for presenting!
Ethnographic research to influence services, marketing to demonstrate value, assessment initiatives, library pedagogy	Late August timing is idea.	During ALA, TLA, etc.	Yes.
I think it would be good to plan some structured time for librarians in related fields (e.g., acquisitions, collection development, public services, electronic resources) to discuss common issues. These roundtables allow people the opportunity to share tips/tricks that might be applicable or transferable to other institutions.			
	Summer	December	Yes
Statistic		Value	
Total Responses		10	

5. How well satisfied were you with this conference - Please rate (more stars are better!)

#	Answer	Min Value	Max Value	Average Value	Standard Deviation	Responses
1	The conference overall was:	2.00	5.00	3.82	0.98	11

6. To help us plan for next year's conference, please tell us a little about yourself:

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	I am a librarian		7	64%
2	I am a paraprofessional		3	27%
3	Other role (please specify)		1	9%
4	I work at a University		10	91%
5	I work at a Community College		0	0%
6	I work at a Public Library		0	0%
7	Other type of institution (please specify)		0	0%

Other role (please specify)	Other type of institution (please specify)
volunteer	

Statistic	Value
Min Value	1
Max Value	4
Total Responses	11